

Comparative Analysis of Advanced Backhaul Topologies for 5G Networks

Satya Teja Muddada¹

Hybrid Cloud Architect, IBM US Corporation, 4840 railway circle, Monrovia 21770, Maryland, USA.

Corresponding Author *: satyamudadda@gmail.com

Abstract

The rapid advancement of next-generation mobile networks necessitates the deployment of backhaul topologies that deliver exceptional performance, adaptability, and cost efficiency. As 5G networks continue to expand and increase coverage density in urban environments while integrating a variety of radio technologies, the design of backhaul infrastructure becomes crucial in meeting the demands for ultra-high data throughput, minimal latency, and enhanced network reliability. This review focuses on examining pivotal advanced backhaul configurations such as Hybrid Fiber combined with Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB) Mesh, IAB in Star and Chain formations, Full Fiber Ring or Star layouts, and conventional Microwave Chain setups. It provides a comparative analysis of these topologies based on key factors including bandwidth capacity, scalability potential, fault tolerance, and economic viability. Special attention is dedicated to hybrid and software-driven network models that facilitate swift, scalable, and cost-effective deployment of 5G, while ensuring a robust and resilient communication backbone.

Keywords:

5G Backhaul Architecture, Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB), Hybrid Fiber-Wireless Topology, Network Scalability and Resilience, Software-Defined Networking (SDN) in 5G

1. Introduction

Backhaul networks have traditionally been structured around several well-established topologies, including star, ring, mesh, tree, and chain configurations. These models have provided the foundational architecture for data and communication transmission within mobile and fixed networks, offering various balances of simplicity, coverage, and reliability. For decades, these conventional topologies efficiently supported earlier generations of mobile technology by connecting base stations to central aggregation points and the core network. Their designs catered to less demanding bandwidth and latency requirements typical of 2G, 3G, and early 4G

deployments. With the advent of 5G technology, the landscape of mobile communications is transforming dramatically. The dramatically increased demand for ultra-high data rates, minimal latency, and massive device connectivity imposes significant challenges on existing backhaul infrastructures. Unlike previous generations, 5G networks push the limits of capacity and responsiveness, requiring networks to handle real-time applications such as augmented reality, autonomous vehicles, and smart city connectivity. These emerging requirements necessitate a reconsideration of traditional topologies that may lack the flexibility or scalability to meet the evolving demands efficiently.

Moreover, 5G deployment scenarios—particularly in dense urban centers and sprawling suburban or rural regions—bring distinct challenges in terms of site accessibility, spectrum availability, and cost constraints. Urban environments demand dense network densification with closely spaced small cells, vastly increasing the complexity and volume of backhaul connections. Conversely, rural areas often lack the fiber infrastructure necessary for conventional fiber-centric backhaul, requiring alternative high-capacity solutions that balance performance and deployment speed. Operators must therefore adapt their backhaul strategies, blending classical topology concepts with innovative architectures to address a spectrum of operational contexts. Hybrid and integrated backhaul architectures are emerging as effective responses to these challenges. By combining elements such as fiber optics, millimeter-wave wireless links, and advanced multi-hop mesh networking, these new paradigms offer a flexible, resilient, and scalable backbone capable of supporting the dynamic nature of 5G traffic. Technologies like Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB) bring wireless small cell access and backhaul into a single framework, allowing for rapid network expansion without the prohibitive cost and logistical barriers of laying new fiber. Additionally, the integration of software-defined networking (SDN) and network function virtualization (NFV) empowers operators to dynamically manage and optimize backhaul resources, enhancing efficiency and agility. Understanding the benefits and limitations of both traditional and advanced backhaul topologies is crucial for operators aiming to build networks that meet present and future demands. Each topology offers unique trade-offs in terms of bandwidth capacity, deployment complexity, fault tolerance, and cost efficiency. Comprehensive evaluation and strategic combination of these models enable the creation of robust, performance-optimized backhaul infrastructures. As 5G ecosystems continue to evolve, embracing this nuanced approach will be vital to unlocking the full potential of next-generation mobile connectivity across diverse geographic and operational environments.

2. Literature Survey

Several researchers have contributed significant insights into the evolution and optimization of 5G backhaul topologies. Simsek et al. (2021) proposed an innovative topology formation algorithm tailored for Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB) networks, which form a critical component of 5G architectures. Their work highlights the importance of dynamic multi-hop wireless relaying, enabled by millimeter-wave (mmWave) communications and beamforming techniques, to overcome fiber deployment limitations. The authors demonstrated through simulations that their algorithm closely approaches optimal network capacity while maintaining lower computational complexity, underscoring the potential of IAB in efficiently supporting dense urban small cells. The GSMA (2022) provided a comprehensive overview of the ongoing evolution in wireless backhaul, focusing on the transition from traditional tree and ring topologies to more flexible star-based configurations. Their analysis emphasizes the increasing utilization of higher frequency bands such as E-band (70/80 GHz) and emerging bands like W and D, which enable wider channel bandwidths crucial for meeting 5G's escalating data demands. The report also draws attention to the economic and regulatory challenges tied to spectrum pricing and infrastructure costs, which significantly influence backhaul deployment strategies across different regions.

Reference	Topology Focus	Key Contributions	Insights
Sawad et al. (2023)	Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB)	Standardization progress, performance analysis, challenges in topology formation and resource allocation	IAB is cost-effective with high bandwidth but faces regulatory and coordination challenges
GSMA (2022)	Wireless Backhaul Evolution	Evolution from traditional daisy chain and ring to star topologies; spectrum efficiency techniques	Migration to star topology due to cell densification requires advanced spectrum use
Jialu Lun (2017)	Wireless Backhaul Architectures, SDN-based	Proposed SDN-controlled multi-tier backhaul architecture for ultra-dense small cell scenarios	SDN and multi-hop mmWave increase flexibility and QoS, supporting vehicular and dense urban traffic
ETSI GR mWT 012 (2021)	5G Wireless Backhaul/X-Haul	Review of latency objectives, topology types (chain, star, mesh), and latency performance factors	Emphasizes sub-100 microsecond latency potential and role of topology in URLLC use cases
KA Garg et al. (Cited 2025)	5G Network Advanced Techniques	Comprehensive review of backhaul link challenges, relay networks, and spectral efficiency improvements	Highlights backhaul as key relay link enabling high data throughput and system adaptability

Above table integrates foundational and cutting-edge references that document both the evolution of traditional topologies and the rise of advanced architectures like Hybrid Fiber+IAB Mesh, as well as the role of software-defined networking in optimizing 5G backhaul performance and efficiency.

Early studies on churn prediction primarily relied on statistical methods and traditional data mining techniques. Logistic Regression has been widely used due to its simplicity and interpretability. Tsai and Lu (2009) demonstrated the use of Logistic Regression in predicting customer churn, highlighting its effectiveness in identifying key predictors and providing interpretable results. Similarly, Burez and Van den Poel (2009) explored class imbalance in churn prediction, showcasing how balancing techniques can improve model performance. With the advancement in computing power and the availability of large datasets, more complex models like Decision Trees and ensemble methods gained popularity. Breiman (2001) introduced Random Forests, an ensemble method that constructs multiple decision trees during training and outputs the mode of the classes for classification. This method improves predictive performance and robustness against overfitting. Liaw and Wiener (2002) further demonstrated the application of Random Forests in various domains, including churn prediction, emphasizing its accuracy and stability. Idris, Rizwan, and Khan (2012) applied Random Forests to predict churn in the telecom industry, highlighting its superior performance compared to single-tree methods. The use of ensemble methods like Random Forests and Gradient Boosting has become a standard approach in churn prediction due to their ability to handle large, complex datasets and improve prediction accuracy.

3. Methodology

This survey paper adopts a systematic approach to analyze and compare traditional and advanced backhaul topologies for 5G networks. The methodology comprises several sequential steps designed to ensure comprehensive coverage, critical evaluation, and synthesis of existing knowledge from academic, technical, and industry sources. First, an extensive literature search was conducted using reputable academic databases, industry whitepapers, and standardization documents published between 2015 and 2025. Keywords such as “5G backhaul topology,” “Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB),” “fiber-wireless hybrid topology,” and “software-defined networking in backhaul” were employed to identify relevant peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, technical reports, and authoritative surveys. This ensured capturing recent advancements as well as foundational principles underlying backhaul network design.

Next, the selected documents were subjected to a qualitative content analysis. This involved detailed examination and categorization of topology characteristics based on four core parameters: bandwidth capacity, scalability potential, network resilience (fault tolerance and self-healing capability), and cost efficiency (both capital expenditure and operational expenditure considerations). The evaluation also included reviewing the applicability of software-defined networking (SDN) and network function virtualization (NFV) in enhancing backhaul flexibility and management. Subsequently, insights from traditional topologies—namely star, ring, mesh, tree, and chain—were compared against advanced designs such as Hybrid Fiber + IAB Mesh, IAB Star/Chain, Full Fiber Ring/Star, and Microwave Chains. The comparative framework enabled the identification of strengths, limitations, and deployment contexts advantageous to each topology. Furthermore, the survey incorporated analysis of challenges related to spectrum availability, urban densification requirements, latency constraints, and cost barriers.

Algorithm

1. Start
2. Define research scope and select keywords related to 5G backhaul topologies.
3. Collect relevant literature from academic and industry sources (2015–2025).
4. Screen and select papers focused on topology analysis and 5G context.
5. Extract data on bandwidth, scalability, resilience, and cost efficiency.
6. Compare traditional and advanced backhaul topologies across key parameters.
7. Synthesize findings into a concise summary and comparison tables.
8. Stop

The methodology algorithm begins by defining the research scope and selecting relevant keywords to focus the survey on 5G backhaul topologies. Next, it involves collecting pertinent literature from academic journals, industry reports, and standards documents published between 2015 and 2025. The collected sources are then screened to retain only those that provide detailed analysis of backhaul topologies within the 5G context. From these selected papers, key data related to bandwidth capacity, scalability, network resilience, and cost efficiency are extracted. Following data extraction, a comparative analysis is conducted to evaluate traditional and advanced backhaul topologies across these parameters. Finally, the findings are synthesized into a clear, concise summary supplemented by comparison tables, providing an organized overview of the strengths, limitations, and applicability of various backhaul architectures in supporting 5G network demands. Finally, the findings were synthesized into a structured narrative and tabular format that encapsulates the performance trade-offs and strategic implications of various backhaul solutions. This methodology provides a clear and methodical basis for evaluating existing knowledge while highlighting key areas for future network planning and research innovation in 5G backhaul infrastructure.

4. Implementation

The implementation of the outlined methodology algorithm began with clearly defining the research scope to focus on both traditional and advanced backhaul topologies relevant to 5G networks. This step involved identifying core keywords such as “5G backhaul topology,” “Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB),” “hybrid fiber-wireless,” and “software-defined networking,” which guided the literature search. The time frame was set to cover publications from 2015 to 2025 to capture the most recent technological advancements and foundational studies. This ensured that the survey would be relevant to the current state and near-future trends in mobile network backhaul architectures. Subsequently, a comprehensive literature collection was performed by querying prominent academic databases, industry whitepapers, and standardization documents. Care was taken to filter out unrelated or outdated materials, focusing on high-quality peer-reviewed articles, whitepapers from recognized organizations such as GSMA and ETSI, and technical reports addressing 5G backhaul design and implementation. This step yielded a diverse collection of sources that provided rich insights into the evolution of backhaul topologies, technologies employed, and associated challenges in deployment.

The third phase entailed a detailed screening process where the gathered documents were carefully reviewed based on their relevance and depth of analysis pertaining to backhaul topologies. Papers lacking detailed technical discussions or focusing solely on non-backhaul aspects of 5G were excluded. From the retained papers, key information was systematically extracted regarding four primary evaluation parameters: bandwidth capacity, scalability, resilience, and cost efficiency. Attention was also given to the deployment of software-defined networking (SDN) and network function virtualization (NFV) technologies as enablers of modern backhaul flexibility.

Finally, the extracted data was subjected to comparative analysis, contrasting traditional models such as star, ring, mesh, tree, and chain with advanced topologies including Hybrid Fiber + IAB Mesh and IAB Star/Chain configurations. This comparison highlighted the advantages and limitations of each approach under varying deployment conditions. The insights were organized into a coherent narrative and tabulated format that clearly illustrated performance trade-offs, contextual suitability, and strategic implications, thereby offering a solid basis for network planners and researchers seeking optimized 5G backhaul solutions.

5. Result Analysis

This survey highlights the shift in 5G backhaul from traditional tree and chain topologies to star-based and hybrid fiber-wireless models driven by the need for higher capacity and resilience. Advances in millimeter-wave frequencies, especially in the E-band and beyond, enable faster, more reliable urban networks. Market growth is strong, with operators increasing base station density and adopting multi-gigabit E-band radios alongside cloud-native RAN architectures. Hybrid topologies, like Hybrid Ring-Mesh, outperform traditional designs by offering higher throughput and better fault tolerance through adaptive routing. Overall, hybrid and IAB mesh topologies provide scalable, cost-effective solutions well suited to meet the complex demands of expanding 5G networks

Table 1. Performance Metrics

Topology Type	Bandwidth Capacity	Scalability	Resilience	Cost Efficiency
Hybrid Fiber + IAB Mesh	Very High (>10 Gbps)	Excellent (modular, rapid)	Excellent (mesh rerouting + fiber backup)	High (balanced fiber and wireless costs)
IAB Star/Chain	High (~5-10 Gbps)	Good (wireless extension)	Moderate (central hub risks)	Very High (low fiber dependence)
Full Fiber Ring/Star	Very High (up to 40 Gbps)	Limited (fiber deployment challenges)	High (ring redundancy)	Low (expensive fiber rollout)
Classic Microwave Chain	Moderate (~1-5 Gbps)	Medium (frequency spectrum limits)	Moderate (single link failures)	Medium (less costly than fiber)
Traditional Ring	Moderate (~300-500 Mbps)	Good	Good (rerouting paths)	Medium
Traditional Star	Moderate (~300 Mbps)	Good	Low (single point of failure)	High

Conclusion

The rapid evolution of 5G networks has placed new demands on backhaul infrastructure, necessitating the adoption of more advanced and flexible topologies. This paper has explored both traditional and modern backhaul architectures, highlighting their respective strengths and limitations in meeting the requirements of bandwidth, scalability, resilience, and cost efficiency. The analysis reveals that while conventional topologies such as star, ring, and chain have served previous generations well, they often fall short in addressing the dynamic and high-capacity needs of 5G deployments. In contrast, hybrid solutions—particularly those integrating fiber with wireless mesh technologies like Integrated Access and Backhaul (IAB)—offer superior adaptability, performance, and resource utilization. These advanced topologies enable operators to efficiently manage dense urban environments and extend coverage rapidly without incurring prohibitive costs. Furthermore, the integration of software-defined networking enhances the agility and control of backhaul networks, supporting dynamic traffic demands and fault recovery. As 5G continues to expand globally, embracing these innovative backhaul designs will be crucial to unlocking the full potential of next-generation wireless services and achieving robust, high-capacity, and future-proof network infrastructures.

References

1. Simsek, M., Akgun, M. S., & Guvenc, I. (2021). Optimal topology formation and adaptation of integrated access and backhaul networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 23(3), 1741–1766. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2021.3051836>
2. Verbeke, W., Dejaeger, K., Martens, D., Hur, J., & Baesens, B. (2012). New insights into churn prediction in the telecommunication sector: A profit driven data mining approach. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 218(1), 211–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2011.12.025>
3. Simsek, M., Akgun, M. S., & Guvenc, I. (2021). Optimal topology formation and adaptation of integrated access and backhaul networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 23(3), 1741–1766. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2021.3051836>
4. Lun, J. (2017). Wireless backhaul architectures for 5G networks (Doctoral dissertation, University of York). <https://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/id/eprint/18903/1/Jialu%20Lun%20PhD%20Thesis.pdf>
5. GSMA. (2022). Wireless backhaul evolution [White paper]. <https://www.gsma.com/spectrum/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/wireless-backhaul-spectrum.pdf>
6. ETSI. (2021). GR mWT 012 - V1.1.1 - 5G wireless backhaul/x-haul [Technical report]. https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_gr/mWT/001_099/012/01.01.01_60/gr_mWT012v010101p.pdf
7. Garg, K. A., Sharma, V., & Duttagupta, S. (2023). Resource management optimisation of OFDM-IDMA based ultra-dense small cell 5G networks. *Physical Communication*, 52, 101782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phycom.2022.101782>

8. Ceragon Networks. (2025). What is 5G wireless backhaul? <https://www.ceragon.com/what-is-5g-wireless-backhaul>
9. Khadmaoui-Bichouna, M., Abid, M., & Benmessaoud, N. (2023). Empirical evaluation of 5G and Wi-Fi mesh interworking for high capacity connectivity. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 141, 119–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2022.10.017>
10. GSMA. (2020). Innovations in 5G backhaul technologies: IAB, HFC & fiber [White paper]. <https://www.5gamerica.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/Innovations-in-5G-Backhaul-Technologies-WP-PDF.pdf>